CREDIT CARD (FINANCIAL TRANSACTION CARD¹) FRAUD--CREDIT CARD STOLEN, FORGED, FALSELY REPRESENTED, EXPIRED OR REVOKED. FELONY; MISDEMEANOR. G.S. 14-113.13(a)(1), (2); (b).

The defendant has been charged with felonious credit card fraud.

For you to find the defendant guilty of this offense, the State must prove five things beyond a reasonable doubt:

First, that the defendant intended to defraud. 2

 $\underline{\mathtt{Second}}$, that he used a credit card which was ($\mathtt{describe}$ $\mathtt{deficiency}$).

Third, that he knew the credit card was (describe deficiency).

Fourth, that he used the credit card for the purpose of obtaining [money] [credit] [goods] [services] [something of value].

And Fifth, that (during a six-month period) the defendant thereby obtained more than \$500 worth of [money] [credit] [goods] [services] [something of value].

If you find from the evidence beyond a reasonable doubt that [on or about the alleged date] [between the alleged dates], the defendant with the intent to defraud used a credit card which he knew was (describe deficiency) for the purpose of obtaining [money] [credit] [goods] [services] [something of value] and that

 $l_{\rm G.S.~14\text{-}113.8\,(4)}$ defines "financial transaction card" which includes a "credit card."

 $²_{
m The}$ victim can be the issuer, a person or organization providing money, goods, services or anything else of value, or any other person.

 $^{^3}$ The deficiencies include a credit card obtained or retained in violation of G.S. 14-113.9 or a forged, expired or revoked credit card.

N.C.P.I.--Crim. 219B.40 Page 2--Final Page

CREDIT CARD (FINANCIAL TRANSACTION CARD) FRAUD--CREDIT CARD STOLEN, FORGED, FALSELY REPRESENTED, EXPIRED OR REVOKED. FELONY; MISDEMEANOR. G.S. 14-113.13(a)(1), (2); (b). (Continued.)

the defendant thereby obtained more than \$500 worth of [money] [credit] [goods] [services] [something of value], it would be your duty to return a verdict of guilty of felonious credit card fraud. However, if you do not so find or have a reasonable doubt as to one or more of these things, you will not return a verdict of guilty of felonious credit card fraud⁴ but must determine whether the defendant is guilty of non-felonious credit card fraud. Non-felonious credit card fraud differs from felonious credit card fraud in that the State need not prove that (during the six-month period) the defendant thereby obtained more than \$500 worth of [money] [credit] [goods] [services] [something of value].

If you find from the evidence beyond a reasonable doubt that [on or about the alleged date] [between the alleged dates], the defendant with the intent to defraud used a credit card which he knew was (describe deficiency) for the purpose of obtaining [money] [credit] [goods] [services] [something of value], it would be your duty to return a verdict of guilty of non-felonious credit card fraud. If you do not so find or have a reasonable doubt as to one or more of these things it would be your duty to return a verdict of not guilty.

 $^{^4{}m If}$ there is no lesser included charge, the last phrase should be, "it would be your duty to return a verdict of not guilty."